

CAYLEY GRAPHS GENERATED BY SMALL DEGREE POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

ABSTRACT. We improve upper bounds of F. R. K. Chung and of M. Lu, D. Wan, L.-P. Wang, X.-D. Zhang on the diameter of some Cayley graphs constructed from polynomials over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{P}_d$ be the set of monic polynomials of degree d over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements, that are powers of some irreducible polynomial, that is

$$\mathcal{P}_d = \{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] : \deg g = d, g = h^k, \\ h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ monic and irreducible, } k = 1, 2, \dots, \}.$$

For a root α of an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree n , thus $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, we define

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha, d) = \{g(\alpha) : g \in \mathcal{P}_d\}.$$

It is easy to see that for $d < n$ we have

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\alpha, d) = \#\mathcal{P}_d = (1 + o(1))\frac{q^d}{d}$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$, see also (3) below.

Following Lu, Wan, Wang and Zhang [6], we now define the directed Cayley graph $\mathfrak{G}(\alpha, d)$ on $q^n - 1$ vertices, labelled by the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, where for $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ the edge $u \rightarrow v$ exists if and only if $u/v \in \mathcal{E}(\alpha, d)$. These graphs are similar to those introduced by Chung [1] however are a little sparser: they are $\#\mathcal{P}_d$ -regular rather than q^d -regular as in [1].

It has been shown in [6] that the graphs $\mathfrak{G}(\alpha, d)$ have very attractive connectivity properties. In particular, we denote by $D(\alpha, d)$ the *diameter* of $\mathfrak{G}(\alpha, d)$. Using bounds of multiplicative character sum from [7, Theorem 2.1], Lu, Wan, Wang and Zhang [6] have shown that for $n < q^{d/2} + 1$ the graph $\mathfrak{G}(\alpha, d)$ is connected and its diameter satisfies the inequality

$$(1) \quad D(\alpha, d) \leq \frac{2n}{d} \left(1 + \frac{2 \log(n-1)}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \right) + 1.$$

Here we augment the argument of [6] with some new combinatorial and analytic considerations and improve the bound (1).

First we assume that $d \geq 2$.

Theorem 1. *For $d \geq 2$ and a root α of an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree $\deg f = n$ with $2d + 1 \leq n < q^{d/2} + 1$, we have*

$$D(\alpha, d) \leq \frac{2n}{d} \left(1 + \frac{\log(n-1) - 1}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \right) + \frac{4 \log(n-1) + 7}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)}.$$

For $d = 1$ the bound (1) is exactly the same as the bound of Wan [7, Theorem 3.3] which improves slightly the bound of Chung [1, Theorem 6]. For $d = 1$, we set $\Delta(\alpha) = D(\alpha, 1)$. For a sufficiently large q , Katz [4, Theorem 1] has improved the results of Chung [1] and showed that $\Delta(\alpha) \leq n + 2$, provided that $q \geq B(n)$ for some inexplicit function $B(n)$ of n . Furthermore, Cohen [2] shows that one can take $B(n) = (n(n+2)!)^2$ in the estimate of Katz [4].

We also use our idea in the case $d = 1$ and obtain an improvement of (1) and thus of the bounds of Chung [1, Theorem 6] and Wan [7, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 2. *For a root α of an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree $\deg f = n$ with $3 \leq n < q^{1/2} + 1$, we have*

$$\Delta(\alpha) \leq 2n \left(1 + \frac{\log(n-1) - 1}{\log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \right) + \frac{3 \log(n-1) + 3}{\log q - 2 \log(n-1)}.$$

We use the same idea for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, however the technical details are slightly different.

We also note that the additive constants 7 and 3 in the bounds of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively, can be replaced by a slightly smaller (but fractional values).

To compare the bound (1) with Theorems 1 and 2, we assume that $n = q^{(\vartheta + o(1))d}$ for some fixed positive $\vartheta < 1/2$.

The Theorems 1 and 2, imply that for any $d \geq 1$,

$$D(\alpha, d) \leq \left(\frac{2 - 2\vartheta}{1 - 2\vartheta} + o(1) \right) \frac{n}{d},$$

while (1) implies a weaker bound

$$D(\alpha, d) \leq \left(\frac{2}{1 - 2\vartheta} + o(1) \right) \frac{n}{d}.$$

2. PREPARATION

We define the polynomial analogue of the von Mangoldt function as follows. For $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ we define

$$\Lambda(g) = \begin{cases} \deg h, & \text{if } g = h^k \text{ for some irreducible } h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the set of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and let $\mathcal{X}_n^* = \mathcal{X}_n \setminus \{\chi_0\}$ be the set of non-principal characters; we appeal to [3] for a background on the basic properties of multiplicative characters, such as orthogonality.

For any $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n$ we also define the character sum

$$S_{\alpha,d}(\chi) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_d} \Lambda(g) \chi(g(\alpha)).$$

A simple combinatorial argument shows that for the principal character χ_0 we have

$$(2) \quad S_{\alpha,d}(\chi_0) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_d} \Lambda(g) = q^d,$$

see, for example, [5, Corollary 3.21].

As in [6], we recall that by [7, Theorem 2.1] we have:

Lemma 3. *For any $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n^*$ we have*

$$|S_{\alpha,d}(\chi)| \leq (n-1)q^{d/2}.$$

We also consider the set $\mathcal{I}_d$ of irreducible polynomials of degree d , that is,

$$\mathcal{I}_d = \{h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] : \deg h = d, h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ irreducible}\},$$

and the sums

$$T_{\alpha,d}(\chi) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{I}_d} \chi(h(\alpha)).$$

Our new ingredient is the following bound “on average”.

Lemma 4. *Let $m = \lceil n/d \rceil - 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n} |T_{\alpha,d}(\chi)|^{2m} \leq m!(q^n - 1)(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^m.$$

Proof. Using the orthogonality of characters, we see that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n} |T_{\alpha,d}(\chi)|^{2m} = (q^n - 1)N,$$

where N is the number of solutions to the equation

$$h_1(\alpha) \dots h_m(\alpha) = h_{m+1}(\alpha) \dots h_{2m}(\alpha),$$

with some $h_1, \dots, h_{2m} \in \mathcal{I}_d$. Since $dm < n$ this implies the identity

$$h_1(X) \dots h_m(X) = h_{m+1}(X) \dots h_{2m}(X)$$

in the ring of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, using the uniqueness of polynomial factorisation, we obtain

$$W \leq m!(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^m,$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

Finally, we recall the well-know formula (see, for example, [5, Theorem 3.25])

$$(3) \quad \#\mathcal{I}_d = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{s|d} \mu(s) q^{d/s},$$

where $\mu(s)$ is the Möbius function, that is,

$$\mu(s) = \begin{cases} (-1)^\nu & \text{if } s \text{ is a product } \nu \text{ distinct primes,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let as before $m = \lceil n/d \rceil - 1$. For an integer $k > 2m$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ we consider

$$M_k(\alpha, d; v) = \sum_{\substack{g_1, \dots, g_{k-2m} \in \mathcal{P}_d \\ g_1(\alpha) \dots g_{k-2m}(\alpha) h_1(\alpha) \dots h_{2m}(\alpha) = v}} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_{2m} \in \mathcal{I}_d} \Lambda(g_1) \dots \Lambda(g_{k-2m}).$$

Clearly, if for some k we have $M_k(\alpha, d; v) > 0$ for every $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ then $D(\alpha, d) \leq k$.

We now closely follow the same path as in the proof of [6, Theorem 15]. In particular, using the orthogonality of characters we write

$$M_k(\alpha, d; v) = \frac{1}{q^n - 1} \sum_{g_1, \dots, g_{k-2m} \in \mathcal{P}_d} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_{2m} \in \mathcal{I}_d} \Lambda(g_1) \dots \Lambda(g_{k-2m}) \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n} \chi(g_1(\alpha) \dots g_{k-2m}(\alpha) h_1(\alpha) \dots h_{2m}(\alpha) v^{-1}).$$

Changing the order of summation, separating the term corresponding to χ_0 , and recalling (2), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(\alpha, d; v) &= \frac{q^{d(k-2m)}(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^{2m}}{q^n - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q^n - 1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n^*} \chi(v^{-1}) S_{\alpha, d}(\chi)^{k-2m} T_{\alpha, d}(\chi)^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| M_k(\alpha, d; v) - \frac{q^{d(k-2m)}(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^{2m}}{q^n - 1} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{q^n - 1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n^*} |S_{\alpha, d}(\chi)|^{k-2m} |T_{\alpha, d}(\chi)|^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3 and then (after extending the summation over all $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n$) using Lemma 4, we derive

$$(4) \quad \left| M_k(\alpha, d; v) - \frac{q^{d(k-2m)}(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^{2m}}{q^n - 1} \right| \leq m!(n-1)^{k-2m} q^{d(k/2-m)} (\#\mathcal{I}_d)^m.$$

Thus, if for some $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ we have $M_k(\alpha, d; v) = 0$ then

$$\frac{q^{d(k-2m)}(\#\mathcal{I}_d)^{2m}}{q^n - 1} \leq m!(n-1)^{k-2m} q^{d(k/2-m)} (\#\mathcal{I}_d)^m$$

or

$$(5) \quad \left(\frac{q^{d/2}}{n-1} \right)^k \leq m!(n-1)^{-2m} (q^n - 1) q^m (\#\mathcal{I}_d)^{-m}.$$

Now, as in the proof of [6, Theorem 9] we note that

$$\#\mathcal{I}_d \geq \frac{q^d}{d} - \frac{2q^{d/2}}{d}.$$

Hence (5) implies that

$$\left(\frac{q^{d/2}}{n-1} \right)^k \leq m!(n-1)^{-2m} d^m (q^n - 1) (1 - 2q^{-d/2})^{-m}.$$

Note that since $n > 2d + 1$, we have $m \geq 2$. Hence, by the Stirling inequality,

$$(6) \quad m! \leq \sqrt{2\pi m} m^{m+1/2} e^{-m+1/12m} \leq \sqrt{2\pi m} m^{m+1/2} e^{-m+1/24}.$$

Thus, using that $m \leq (n-1)/d$, we see that

$$(7) \quad m! d^m \leq \sqrt{2\pi m} m^{1/2} (n-1)^m e^{-m+1/24}.$$

Since $d \geq 2$ and $2d+1 \leq n < q^{d/2} + 1$ we have $q^{d/2} > 4$. Thus $q^{d/2} \geq 5$. Furthermore, since $m \leq (n-1)/2 < q^{d/2}/2$, we also have

$$(8) \quad (1 - 2q^{-d/2})^{-m} \leq (1 - 2q^{-d/2})^{-q^{d/2}/2} \leq (1 - 2/5)^{-5/2} < 3.6.$$

Hence, recalling that $m \leq (n-1)/d \leq (n-1)/2$, we derive from (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q^{d/2}}{n-1} \right)^k &< 3.6\sqrt{2\pi}m^{1/2}(n-1)^{-m}q^ne^{-m+1/24} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\pi}(n-1)^{-m+1/2}q^ne^{-m+1/24} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\pi}(e(n-1))^{-m+1/2}q^ne^{-11/24}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m \geq (n-1)/d - 1$, we conclude that

$$m - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n}{d} - 2.$$

Therefore,

$$(e(n-1))^{-m+1/2} \leq (e(n-1))^{-n/d+2},$$

which finally implies

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leq 2 \frac{n \log q - (n/d - 2)(1 + \log(n-1)) + \log(3.6\sqrt{\pi}) - 11/24}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{n \log q - (n/d - 2)(1 + \log(n-1)) + 1.4}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \\ &= \frac{2n}{d} \left(1 + \frac{\log(n-1) - 1}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \right) + \frac{4 \log(n-1) + 6.8}{d \log q - 2 \log(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We now put $m = n - 1$. Note that the set $\mathcal{P}_1$ is the set of q linear polynomials $X + u$, $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For an integer $k > 2m$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ we consider

$$N_k(\alpha; v) = \sum_{\substack{u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{F}_q \\ (u_1 + \alpha) \dots (u_k + \alpha) = v}} 1.$$

Clearly, if for some k we have $N_k(\alpha; v) > 0$ for every $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ then $\Delta(\alpha) \leq k$.

Using the same argument as in the proof Theorem 1, we obtain the following analogue of (4)

$$\left| N_k(\alpha; v) - \frac{q^k}{q^n - 1} \right| \leq m!(n-1)^{k-2m}q^{k/2} = (n-1)!(n-1)^{k-2n+2}q^{k/2}.$$

Thus if for some $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ we have $N_k(\alpha; v) = 0$ then

$$(9) \quad \left(\frac{q^{1/2}}{n-1} \right)^k \leq (n-1)!(n-1)^{-2n+2}(q^n - 1).$$

The inequality (9) together with the Stirling inequality (6) imply that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\left(\frac{q^{d/2}}{n-1} \right)^k \leq \sqrt{2\pi}(n-1)^{-n+3/2}q^n e^{-n+1+1/12(n-1)}.$$

Using the inequality

$$\log \left(\sqrt{2\pi}e^{1+1/12(n-1)} \right) = \frac{25}{24} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \leq 2,$$

that holds for $n \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leq 2 \frac{n \log q - (n-3/2) \log(n-1) - n + 2}{\log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \\ &= 2n \left(1 + \frac{\log(n-1) - 1}{\log q - 2 \log(n-1)} \right) + \frac{3 \log(n-1) + 2}{\log q - 2 \log(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result now follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was finished during a very enjoyable stay of the author at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn. It was also supported in part by ARC grant DP140100118.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. K. Chung, ‘Diameters and eigenvalues’, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), 187–196.
- [2] S. D. Cohen, ‘Polynomial factorization and an application to regular directed graphs’, *Finite Fields and Their Appl.*, **4** (1998), 316–346.
- [3] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [4] N. M. Katz, ‘Factoring polynomials in finite fields: an application of Lang-Weil to a problem in graph theory’, *Math. Ann.*, **286** (1990), 625–637.
- [5] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] M. Lu, D. Wan, L.-P. Wang, X.-D. Zhang, ‘Algebraic Cayley graphs over finite fields’, *Preprint*, 2013, (available from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3449>).
- [7] D. Wan, ‘Generators and irreducible polynomials over finite fields’, *Math. Comp.*, **66** (1997), 1195–1212.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES,
SYDNEY, NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au